

PHC Consortium		Risk: C00271 - Mischaracterisation of Correspondence by Legal Representatives										Risk Snapshot		
Risk ID	Category / Element	Risk Short Title	Description	Desired Outcome			Current Situation			Proposed Strategy				
C00271 []	C6 Legal / Contract Terms	Mischaracterisation of Correspondence by Legal Representatives [Ref-C00083]	The letter dated 29 July 2025 from Slee Blackwell Solicitors asserts that my correspondence, and that of others, is “virtually identical.” This is factually incorrect and misrepresents the independent, distinct nature of my letters, which are based on personal ownership, timeline, and evidence. Such a mischaracterisation not only suggests a failure to treat each owner's case individually, but also implies a generic and possibly orchestrated submission, which is not true in my case. This blanket assertion risks undermining the authenticity and seriousness of my correspondence in the eyes of both the trustees and potential third parties, including legal bodies or the ombudsman.	Formal correction or retraction of the statement regarding “virtually identical” correspondence and commitment from Slee Blackwell to treat all correspondence individually and to avoid blanket classifications that misrepresent the senders. What Could Go Wrong? Dismissal or devaluation of legitimate concerns due to presumed template-based submissions; in legal proceedings or correspondence. Erosion of credibility in the dispute process, damaging potential settlement or negotiation outcomes. Potential for escalation into a defamation or negligence claim.			A letter was received on 29 July 2025 in which the solicitors stated: “We note that you and others have written virtually identical letters.” No evidence was provided to support this claim. My letters, in fact, differ materially in both tone and content and were constructed without reference to any group material or template. No further comment or clarification has yet been issued.			1. Raise the issue formally in a follow-up letter, requesting evidence of the claim or its retraction. 2. Add this Concern to the Open Concerns register for visibility and future reference. 3. Monitor for further instances of collective misrepresentation or procedural shortcuts. 4. Prepare a defamation impact note for potential use if mischaracterisation leads to quantifiable harm.				
Risk (three-part) Statement				Current Risk			Response Type	Manageability	Residual Risk	Risk Owner	Due Date	Close Date	Last Review Date	
Cause	Risk Event [uncertainty]	Consequence	Probability	Impact	Score (Pxl)	Notes								
Either careless handling of correspondence by the legal representatives, or a deliberate attempt to characterise complainants as a collective nuisance rather than individual stakeholders with legal rights.	Misrepresentation of the content and authorship of correspondence by grouping independently written letters into a single categorisation, without proper review.	1. Undermined legitimacy of sender's claims. 2. Risk of public misperception . 3. Procedural unfairness or disregard for stakeholders.	3	4 H-1 C-1 Q-1 S-1	12	Mitigate	5	8	Winter, David	15Aug26	Open	18Sep25		
Mitigating Actions / Response														
ID	Actions											Action Owner	Due Date	Close Date
#1	Raise the Concern in correspondence with Slee Blackwell.											Winter, David	17Nov25	Open
#2	Add this Concern to the PHC database.											Winter, David	17Nov25	Open
#3	Monitor Slee Blackwell correspondence for further instances of misrepresentation.											Winter, David	17Nov25	Open
#4	Prepare a defamation impact note for potential use if mischaracterisation leads to quantifiable harm.											Winter, David	17Nov25	Open
<u>Last 10 RM Events (Meetings/Interviews/Workshops).</u>														
Mtg.	Date	Title / Person / Department				Objective				(0 Events held.)				
<u>Comments</u>							<u>History</u>							
<u>Top Risk</u>							<u>Summary</u>							
Mischaracterisation of Correspondence by Legal Representatives							Request evidence of the claim or its retraction. Add this Concern to the Open Concerns register for visibility and future reference, and monitor for further instances of collective misrepresentation or procedural shortcuts. Prepare a defamation impact note for potential use if mischaracterisation leads to quantifiable harm.							